

A Method for energy optimization and product quality improvement in manufacturing processes

Presented by: B. ABOU KHALIL With M. BERHTOU, D. CLODIC





Contents	

1. Context

2. Energy optimization methods

3. The Process Energy Analysis (PEA)

4. Conclusion and future working program



Context

Λι

3



I. Environmental and political context

- Earth temperature increased by 0.6 K during the 20th century
- Human activities contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions
- Kyoto Protocol aims at decreasing GHG emissions by 5% referred to the 1990 level

II. Oil production peak

- Estimated by 2015
- Decrease in productivity by 2%
 per year
- Increase in demand by 1.6 % per year
- → Availability decreasing by 3.6% per year





An overview on existing energy optimization methods in industry

2.1 Process Integration by Pinch analysis

I. Goal

- Improvement of energy efficiency in industrial processes in order to minimize total cost (operating and investment costs)
- II. Composite curves
- Determine cost optimal process pinch
- Analyze heat exchange between heat flows in processes
- III. Advantages
- Overall vision of the process
- Economic optimization of heat recovery and heat exchange within the process
- IV. Pinch analysis limitations
- Batch processes imply time differences
- Spatial discontinuity of heat flows
- Technological difficulties with respect to some materials
- Transformation process "taken as granted"
- Heavy and expensive tool





2.2 The Kissock "inside-out" approach and the **Frazier method**

Kissock "inside-out" approach Ι.

- Basic criteria : process requirement ٠
- Exergy-based analysis of equipment
- Identification of the best suited energy source • for the process
- Limitations: transformation process "taken as granted"

The Frazier method П.

- **Basic requirements: Minimum Required** • Energy
- Process energy flow mapping
- Minimum Required Energy : Value added energy
- Losses: Non value added energy ٠
- Technical energy management method leading to incremental improvements
- Limitations: transformation process "taken as • granted"



Schematic representation of the inside-out approach [Kissock et Hallinan, U.DAYTON]



[Frazier, Oklahoma State University]

- I. The existing methods do not allow comparison of alternative processes to the current one
- II. The existing methods consider the actual process as "granted", so the potential radical improvements are out of the scope
- III. An analysis method has to be developed focused on the product itself in order to define the most efficient transformation processes



The Process Energy Analysis (PEA)

3.1 The 3 steps of PEA

The 3 steps

- Process analysis
 - Molecular and energy analyses of transformation process
 - MRE based on product quality and plant productivity
 - Best transformation process and BAT
- Manufacturing plant synopsis and energy consumption inventories
 - Process mapping (process transformation operations)
 - Inventories of energy and mass fluxes
 - Plant energy exchange model
- Energy assessment and results evaluation
 - Analysis of energy losses
 - Incremental improvements
 - Radical improvements



3.2 Process analysis

I. Molecular analysis

- Basic criteria: Product quality and plant productivity
- Determine Minimum Required Energy

II. Energy and Exergy analyses

- Determine best suited transformation
 process
- Determine the energy sources at the highest temperatures
- Determine maximum energy efficiency



3.3 Analyzing data

I. Energy Losses

- Non fatal losses
 - Losses related to system
 malfunction
 - Elimination of non fatal losses does not affect the actual process
 - Incremental energy improvements
- Fatal losses
 - Energy needed for ancillary needs
 linked with the actual process
 - Mould heating, conveyor heating...etc.
 - Irreversibility losses
 - Elimination of fatal losses requires changing the actual process
 - Radical energy improvements



3.4 Example step 1 (milk pasteurization for cheese production)

I. Molecular analysis

- Eliminate harmful pathogenic bacteria
- Development of bacteria is limited at low temperatures
- Storage up to 1 week possible without pasteurization
- Pasteurization must then be followed by cooling to 35°C
- MRE: Energy to heat milk from 5 to 35°C



3.4 Example step 1 (milk pasteurization for cheese production)

II. Energy and exergy analyses

Composite curve analysis

- ΔT ≤30 K → Constant heating needs
- $\Delta T_{min} \downarrow \rightarrow Q_{HT} \downarrow$

Best available techniques and energy ratios

- Minimize the high temperature need
- Air-to-water heat pump. Heat production at 35/37°C

•
$$COP_{PASTO} = \frac{MRE}{W_{comp}} = \frac{MRE}{Q_{HP}} \times \frac{Q_{HP}}{W_{comp}} = \eta_{HX} \times COP_{HP}$$



a) Milk composite curves with 30 K pinch



b) Milk composite curves with 2 K pinch



Conclusions and future working program



- PEA application determines energy saving opportunities that cannot be identified by classic energy analysis methods
- Transformation process analysis leads to define accessible MRE
- In most industries, it is common to take the transformation process as "established forever". The PEA method allows comparing several processes for producing a defined product with similar quality in order to define the lowest achievable energy expense

4.2 The future working program

- Reference PEA for each industrial process: similar exhaustive approach as IPPC (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control)
- Best transformation process and Best Available Technologies for a given product
- Processes have to be studied under dynamic simulation in order to take into account energy losses along the time
- The MRE has to be defined for each and every process taking into account the mass flow rates of raw materials, by-products, effluents, and product itself
- GHG and pollutant emissions have to be integrated into the PEA