



EVALUATION 1999 ECEEE SUMMER STUDY

There have been 48 answers (answering rate : 25%) : most of answering people were new in the conference, so probably without prejudices ; in the new ones, 18 were expecting such an informal way of life. They all like informality, but in a more structured way.

I - AFFILIATION

University	10	Local government	--
National government	9	Utility	1
Private	9	Non profit organization	6
Inter governmental organization	7	Other	5

II - HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE FOLLOWING ?

	Not Useful	Useful	Very useful	Don't Know	Average without "Don't know"
Refereed paper sessions	---	15	31	---	2,67
Poster sessions	6	23	8	11	2,05
Informal sessions	2	24	21	---	2,43
Plenary Sessions	6	27	13	1	2,15
Proceedings	---	10	32	---	2,76
Graffiti	1	21	22	2	2,48

III - WHICH TOPICS ARE OF THE GREAT INTEREST TO YOU ?

	Not Useful	Useful	Very useful	Don't Know	Average without "Don't know"
PANEL 1 Policy	2	13	29	4	2,61
PANEL 2 Technologies and products	3	16	20	10	2,43
PANEL 3 Dynamics of consumption	---	16	29	3	2,64
PANEL 4 Environmental Perspective	2	19	16	10	2,42
PANEL Land use, Transportation and infrastructure	8	19	5	16	1,90

There may be unclerness in the answers, whether the questions refers to interest of topics or usefulness of actual sessions

IV - HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE MIX OF SCHEDULED SESSIONS AND UNSTRUCTURED TIME ?

	Not enough session time	Just right	Not enough unstructured time	Don't Know
Comments	6	39	1	-

V - DO YOU ANY COMMENTS OR RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SOCIAL EVENTS

Not during the sessions, so it must not only be for accompanying persons and be developed with an appropriate timing / Boat trips requested.

VI - DO YOU FEEL IT WOULD BE BETTER TO HAVE ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS (200) AT THE NEXT SUMMER STUDY OR SHOULD THE NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS BE EXPANDED

= 26	+ 19
------	------

VII - WHICH ASPECTS OF THE SUMMER STUDY WERE MOST VALUABLE TO YOU ?

- Of course : meals, location, climate
- Exchanges with foreign countries : networking and meeting people
- New researches, up to date results
- Outdoors areas, informal and relaxed atmosphere

VIII - WERE THERE ANY PARTICULAR THINGS THAT YOU LIKED OR DISLIKED ABOUT THE SUMMER STUDY ?

- + Location, food, no waiting ; good balanced sessions ; GRAFFITI (but its contents must be more structured)
- Not enough preinformation ; review process of papers to be improved and more serious, organization too (asking for e-mails participants lists, larger conference rooms, sustainability of the venue, ...) ; programme has changed all the time ; poorness of the poster session ; wanted a higher level of presentations, not so much general talking, more practical solutions, action, not consensual ideas ...

IX - ON THE WHOLE, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE 1999 SUMMER STUDY

	Not useful	Useful	Very useful	Don't Know	Average
COMMENTS	---	15	27	6	2,63

X - WHAT DO YOU FEEL WAS MISSING FROM THE SUMMER STUDY (key participants, panel topics, free time, ...)

ON THE FORM : pre information ; free time (to be relied to social events Q.V)

CONTENTS : more from other than developed world viewpoints ; key note speakers (Q.VIII) ; economic debates on microlevels ; social science, broad environmental expertise

PUBLIC : manufacturers, utilities, bussinesses, industry, more south EU
Grants for developping countries and eastern Europe, missing panel leaders

XI - IS IT YOUR FIRST PARTICIPATION IN THE SUMMER STUDY ?

YES 29	NO 17
--------	-------

XII - IF YES DID YOU EXPECT SUCH AN INFORMAL "WAY OF LIFE?"

YES 18

NAME (optional) : K.Jorgensen, R.Wilk, E. Di Giulio, Un. of Oxford, H. Nilsson, P. Kasanen, A. Gomes Martins, J. Forsman, V. Sundberg, U. Böde, M. Cames, S. Darby, Y. Nagata, A. Groot marcus, M. Yokoo, S. Bos, T. Gochitashvili, S. R. Samo, A. Meier, P. Karle, G. Abulashvili, I. Mansouri, J. Newman, L. Nielsen, B. Lebot, I. Spliid, K. Ostertag, A. Rosenfeld